

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,076	08/30/2001	Martin Schurenberg	B0004/7087	7692	
21127	7590 09/24/2003				
KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP ONE STATE STREET			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1510			GAKH, YELENA G		
BOSTON, MA	A 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/943,076	SCHURENBERG ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
•	μ·	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1	I I		
Peri d fo	or Reply				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIANCE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U S C & 133)		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	August 2001 .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	vance except for formal matters, r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213.		
4)[🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
<i>7</i> . 	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	on Papers	orosaon roquiromonic.			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗆 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.			
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f)		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·		
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the company of the foreign language procedures the company of t	ovisional application has been re	eceived.		
Attachment					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)		
. Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6		

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a sample support plate, classified in class 422, subclass 69.
- II. Claims 10-12, drawn to a method for manufacturing a sample support plate, classified in class 427, subclass 447.
- III. Claims 13-18, drawn to a method for loading purified biomolecules, classified in class 436, subclass 56.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by coating the plate with hydrophilic and hydrophobic layers without using a resoluble printing dye.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used the same way as e.g. MediSorpTM Surface plates or MultiSorpTM Surface plates.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects: while the method of invention II comprises coating of the plate with hydrophilic, lipophobic and affinity adsorption layers, the method of invention III comprises exposing a support plate with affinity absorption area and hydrophilic and hydrophobic area to biomolecular analytes , washing the

Art Unit: 1743

plate, desorbing the adsorbed molecules and drying eluent solution, which are completely different method steps than those of Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Philip L. Conrad on 09/19/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5906. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Hele Hall

Yelena G. Gakh